

No. 7 Aug.-Sept.

Revisionist History® 1998

A CRITICAL ANALYSIS OF THE LANGUAGE OF MIND CONTROL **Jewspeak**

Michael A. Hoffman II

"The purpose...was not only to provide a medium of expression for the world-view and mental habits proper to the devotees...but to diminish the range of thought...to make all other modes of thought impossible. This was done partly by the invention of new words, but chiefly by eliminating undesirable words...when...adopted once and for all...a heretical thought--that is, a thought diverging from the principles...should be literally unthinkable..." George Orwell

The Undeniable Undergoes a Rewrite

Modern "Conservatives" who believe their vocation is to "conserve" and at the same time unthinkingly endorse Jewish theology, probably didn't notice, but the ever-changing Auschwitz script has been revised again.

In a report on Edith Stein, the modernist Catholic "patron saint of the gas chambers," ABC News in its June, 1998 TV documentary (*Touched by a Miracle*), did not broadcast scenes of the purported Auschwitz "gas chamber" shown to millions of tourists in Poland for decades.

Instead, ABC showed a patch of earth and referred to it as the site of the "gas chamber" where Stein was supposedly killed.

No reason was given for the change in venue. The hoaxers don't want to draw attention to the evanescent nature of their Auschwitz tale, which evolves and transmogrifies at least as often as the Cheshire cat of Wonderland.

For decades it was claimed that 4 million people died in Auschwitz. In 1990 the figure was officially downsized to 1.5 million. 2.5 million "Nazi victims" vanished.

In 1996 Jewish writer Deborah Dwork and historian Robert Jan van Pelt revealed in their book, *Auschwitz*

1270 to the Present (New York: W.W. Norton), what revisionist researchers have said almost from the beginning (and have been smeared, fined, jailed, beaten and killed as a result), the facilities presented to Auschwitz tourists are fakes which were "reconstructed" by the Communists after the war.

Like the annals of the Stalinist Soviet encyclopedia, where personalities and events were added and subtracted with astonishing regularity--photos retouched and texts rewritten--the infallible, not-to-be-disputed Auschwitz story is now undergoing yet another rewrite.



"Jewspeak entails the outright abolition of certain words and the imposition of ideologically loaded terms with characteristics peculiarly conducive to the pathology of the 'Holocaust syndrome.'"

ABC News is but one of numerous media outlets who are discreetly altering their "official" accounts.

It's a most amusing and indeed, enlightening phenomenon for those "in the know."

Among those not so well enlightened are the numerous American conservative institutes and societies whose strategy is to win brownie points with the Zionists by toadying to their Auschwitz script and incorporating their alien agenda under the banner of Western Civilization.

Chronicles magazine in Rockford, Illinois, is a case in point. *Chronicles* advertises itself as a defiant bastion of Western tradition and culture and performs this function by making the orthodox Talmudic Rabbi Jacob Neusner their magazine's esteemed columnist.

By this supposedly clever maneuver, they imagine they are disarming their most powerful critics and obtaining a de facto license to publish the rest of their supposedly pro-Western agenda.

In return for making a Talmudic Rabbi a spokesman for and an interpreter of traditional Western culture, *Chronicles* thinks it has outsmarted its opponents, enabling itself to march forward without too many impediments from the Jewish lobby.

Am I the only one who detects the cowardice and insanity of such subversion, especially coming from a group that fancies itself the two-fisted champion of Western civilization?

The West is Greece, Rome and Europe.

Judaism is Babylon and the ghetto.

Listen to the voice of the ghetto as it condemns our heritage as "...the Western world's reversion to its long history of Jew hatred." Where did this perpetually cycled libel appear? *The Likud Gazette*? *The Journal of the Zionist Organization of America*?

No, it was published in *Chronicles* (October, 1996, p. 27) under the byline of Rabbi Neusner.

Neusner is just another obsessed, hysterical rabbi who has no ability to make critical distinctions--the hallmark of the true historian and journalist.

He only adds his voice to the din of the professional whining class which conservatives chastise when the whining emanates from feminists and blacks, but amplify and applaud when it comes from rabbis.

Neusner's cartoon stereotype denies the hidden record: that many "Jews" have hated Judaism down through the ages and been hated by it in turn.

It was Western Civilization that finally gave Jewish dissidents sanctuary from the punitive, murderous rage of the rabbinate.

Jewish Opponents of Judaism Rescued by the West

Hebrew University's Dr. Israel Shahak, a former prisoner in Belsen concentration camp, writes:

"...in the late 1830s a 'Holy Rabbi' (*Tzadik*) ordered the murder of a heretic by throwing him into the boiling water...Nicholas I of Russia...strengthened the voices of law and order in Russia...with the consequence that it became difficult to murder Jews on the order of their rabbis...

"The Metternich regime of pre-1848 Austria was notoriously reactionary, but it did not allow people, even liberal Jew(s) to be poisoned. During 1848, when the regime's power was temporarily weakened, the first thing the leaders of the Jewish community in the Galician city of Lemberg (now Lvov) did with their newly regained freedom was to poison the liberal...(Jews) of the city...

"In the countries of eastern Europe...the Jews were liberated from the tyranny of their own religion and communities by outside forces..." (Shahak, *Jewish History, Jewish Religion* [London, England: Pluto Press, 1994], p. 17).

In one of orthodox Judaism's most influential books, the *Mishnah Torah*, Moses Maimonides, the man

orthodox Jews esteem as their greatest rabbi, gives a list of categories of heretics and unbelievers who are to be exterminated, these include Christians as well as Jewish heretics ("*apikorsim*") who disobey the Talmud. (Cf. Moses Maimonides, *Mishnah Torah* [Brooklyn, NY: Moznaim Publishing Corp., 1990], p. 184).

The most prominent Jewish dissident who would have been murdered by the rabbis had it not been for the protection offered by Christians, was the philosopher Baruch Spinoza, the son of Portuguese immigrants who sought refuge with the Jewish community of Holland.

"Spinoza was able to witness firsthand the conflict between these newly arrived 'Conversos'...and the Ashkenazic or Talmudic Jews who had resided in Amsterdam for centuries.

"Young Baruch not only learned the classics of literature and philosophy but was able to study Latin and, horror of horrors, the New Testament taught to him by a...priest.

"While a young student he became a member of a group of radical thinkers...his rational discussions...exposed the sometimes superstitious and complex commentaries of Talmudic teachings...his method...had a long-term and deadly effect on the Jewish community.

"...the dispute with the Jewish community arose...The official excommunication document may still be read today...Spinoza was...threatened with assassination. Ironically, the (Jewish) refugees of Amsterdam, safe in their bourgeois existence, had conducted their own inquisition." (Michael Shapiro, *The Jewish Hundred* [Seacaucus, New Jersey: Citadel Press, 1996] pp. 45-47). Spinoza's work was honored by the German Romantics and especially by Goethe who revered him.

The mandarins of the information class, including the wannabe mandarins of glossy conservative publications, pretend that the issue of hostility toward Judaism is a case of gentiles vs. Jews, with the latter defending their human rights against the "anti-Semitism" of the former.

Hence criticism of Judaism, in the distorting prism of the reigning consensus, becomes a form of racism.

The fact that certain heretical "Jews" themselves are among the most intractable enemies of Judaism and some of its greatest victims, shows that criticism of Judaism is not "anti-Semitic racism" but a rejection of the dictatorship of the mind by a tyrannical superstition. Rabbinic Judaism is the enemy of freethinkers everywhere and is bound to earn the enmity of those scholars who refuse to be commissared.

On July 9, 1996, the chief Sephardic rabbi of the Israelis, Eliyahu Bakshi Doron, advocated the murder of heretical Jews, calling such murder, "a pure act." (Religious News Service, July 10, 1996).

The orthodox Jewish newspaper *Hamodi'a* referred to heretical Jews as *Rodfim* ("pursuers"), a theological category which allows for the murder of the "pursuer." (*Forward*, July 19, 1996, p. 11).

Jewish writer Jack Selzer states "All this Jew...posturing about 'tolerance of dissent' is just another Zionist swindle, the sort of thing which they forever preach to others but never practice themselves." (*Adelaide Institute*, August, 1996).

"Truth is not a Defense"

This disproportionality is starkly exhibited in the enormity of the advertising extravaganza mounted on behalf of the Six Million/Gas Chamber stories which Jewish analyst Zygmunt Bauman says is "polluting the world of the living" by what he terms "the Holocaust syndrome...a sort of 'competition for victimhood,' a 'pecking order of pain'...having a hereditary claim to sympathy...self-perpetuating and self-reproducing..." (*Tikkun*, July-August, 1998).

Due to the requirements of a language of totalitarianism this writer terms "Jewspeak," all Americans who want to retain their incomes, careers and good name must assent to the "Holocaust syndrome" or be branded "deniers," a category defined exclusively by skepticism toward the axiom that Jewish lore is the inerrant divinity of the cosmos.

Truth, history, evidence play no part in the equation. This was the ruling last May during the prosecution of Canadian publisher and homicidal gas chamber skeptic Ernst Zündel, when the government's human rights tribunal decreed that truth was no defense:

"It was the submission of the Commission that truth was not a defense to a discriminatory practice under s. 13(1) of the Canadian Human Rights Act (herein referred to as the "Act").

"Moreover, it was strenuously argued by the Commission that allowing Mr. Christie (Zündel's defense lawyer) to pursue this line of questioning was antithetical to the very purpose of human rights legislation...the dignity of the complainants and the proceedings should not be diminished by allowing the respondent to prove or test the truth of inherently offensive comments." (Canadian Human Rights Commission Tribunal, May 25, 1998).

The truth about Judaism or the hoax the Soviets perpetrated in Auschwitz has now become "inherently offensive" and "discriminatory." These sophistic snares are employed to defeat reality itself.

In the name of equality a special master class of "hereditary victims" has been elevated to so stratospheric a "dignity" that they must be protected even from the truth. Because telling the truth would offend this master class, truth itself is proscribed as racist and discriminatory.

This Orwellian decree subverts the core of Western


Civilization's most cherished principles of jurisprudence and scholarship. One would think that those who have made it their eponymous, lifetime vocation to "conserve" our heritage would make the human rights ruling against Zündel and the underlying "Holocaust syndrome" which empowers it, a major focus of protest and dissent. Instead, conservatives have hermetically sealed the issue in the sarcophagus of their own pusillanimity.

The corollary to the master class is the categorization of a class of misfits and devils who are so low in status in "democratic" society that any lie may be told about them with impunity. Bauman:

"...in a world haunted by the ghost of the Holocaust, such assumed would-be persecutors are guilty in advance, guilty of *being seen* as inclined or able to

Girl's dictionary protest strikes out 'miser' insult

Jewish Chronicle 24-11-95



Word of warning brings results: Claudia Belsman with the dictionary page to be updated because of her complaint

By CHARLOTTE SELIGMAN

A complaint by a 12-year-old Radlett girl has prompted Collins to remove a derogatory definition of the word "Jew" from the next pocket edition of its English dictionary and thesaurus.

Claudia Belsman wrote to Collins after discovering that the dictionary entry referred not only to "one of Hebrew religion or ancestry," but also, in an offensive sense, to a "miser."

In its response, the publisher informed her that the upsetting reference would "certainly be omitted from the next edition... It is quite unacceptable to describe a person who reads the Old Testament as a miser."

Claudia, a pupil at Haberdashers' Aske's School, Elstree, is delighted at the response.

"I am very pleased that the reference to 'miser' is going to be taken out of the dictionary. I was quite offended because I didn't

think it was a true definition — a Jew is not a miser."

Collins dictionaries' editor Lorna Gilmour told the *JC* that there had been no other complaints, pointing out: "We have to reflect the language as it exists, but we do make it clear that the word is considered offensive."

However, she confirmed that Collins would remove the upsetting description from its next edition. "As it [the word] is no longer used in that way, it is a little obsolete."

engage in another genocide. They need commit no crime. Standing accused or just *being suspect*, true to the message of Kafka's *The Trial*, is already their crime, the only crime needed to cast them as criminals and to justify harsh preventive/punitive measures.

"The ethics of hereditary victimhood reverses the logic of the Law; the accused remain criminals until they have proven their innocence--and since it is their prosecutors who conduct the hearings and decide the validity of the argument, they have slim chance of their arguments being accepted in court...Thus the status of hereditary victim may take the moral reprobation off such new victimization..." (*Tikkun*, op. cit.).

By this process, advanced under the rubric of "human rights," impudent falsehoods cannot be countered, because to do so offends the master class of hereditary victims. It is easy to see that in this state of affairs, the whole discourse of society is plunged into the illusion engendered by JewSpeak and the masses become too benighted and gullible to espouse the truth about anything of substance, when to do so means to risk defamation as a "denier" or a "discriminator."

And herein lies the key to understanding the enormous significance of the contest at hand. Many people concerned almost wholly with restoring the Constitution or preserving the white race, often question the utility of organized skepticism toward gas chamber atrocity stories or sustained criticism of Zionism and Talmudism.

What they fail to see is that the advancement of even Constitutional and racial issues is impaired and inhibited by the intense level of intimidation operating as a condition of the continuation of Jewish supremacy.

When a society is forced to suppress independent thinking in sublimation to the irrational demands of the "Holocaust syndrome," it will exhibit the same denial of reality when any other controversial issue comes to the fore, assuming that issue is clothed in the habiliments of human rights, sensitivity, "hate speech" and similar appeals to the sacred status of various supposedly historical and hereditary victims such as bureaucrats, blacks, Mexicans and homosexuals.

The continued uncritical acceptance of the party line about World War Two and the benevolence of Judaism is intrinsically linked to the continuing uncritical acceptance of every other hoax and illusion peddled by the media and educational establishment.

In the "pecking order of pain" it is "racist" to claim that anyone suffered more than Jews did. That such Jewish claims are themselves racist cannot be acknowledged by those who wish to maintain their reputations in the community and their careers in the establishment.

There's a "Holocaust Memorial" coming soon to every city in America, to commemorate the massacre which overshadows all others by six million light years, accompanied by daily "Holocaust" films and "human rights" pep rallies where all who understand the perils of not having "goodthink" in their heads will cheer with mindless gusto.

But this relentless hysteria over Jewish suffering is absurdly incommensurate with what actually transpired in this blood-drenched 20th century, which has seen tens of millions of Christian, Russian and East European peasants shot, drowned, tortured, frozen or worked to death at the hands of the Soviet Union's early Jewish-Communist leadership (Lenin, Trotsky, Zinoviev, Kamanev, Kaganovich and hundreds

of others).

Israel Shahak: "An examination of radical, socialist and communist parties can provide many examples of disguised Jewish chauvinists and racists, who joined these parties merely for reasons of Jewish interest and are...in favor of 'anti-Gentile' discrimination." (*Jewish History, Jewish Religion*, op. cit.).

The Weird Cult of "Judeo-Christianity"

The "Holocaust" story as now presented, is really a thinly veiled popular version of the Jewish religion itself. The goal of the "Holocaust Syndrome" is to supplant Christianity with Judaism as the central ontological nodal point of Western reference and experience--to replace Calvary with Auschwitz.

Assisting the rabbis in the accomplishment of this subversion is the weird cult of Judeo-Christianity, an oxymoron found on the lips of almost all conservatives including even Pat Buchanan.

This abominable "Judeo-Christianity" contrivance is of a piece with the cloning of human and animal genes or any of the other alchemical mixtures of two mutually contradictory substances which we have witnessed these last few decades in the modern cauldron.

The near-universal approbation and currency exerted by this cockamamie term exposes at one glance the level of abysmal historical ignorance which obtains today.

The Church Fathers knew of no "Judeo-Christian" tradition, since Judaism did not exist before Christ. Before Him, there was the faith of the Israelites as it gradually decayed and was subverted by corrupt teachings such as were transmitted by the Pharisees and Sadducees.

When a portion of the Israelites rejected Christ, their leaders eventually made their way to Babylon, where the corrupt and reprobate oral traditions of their elders (cf. Mark 7) were committed to writing and compiled as the Talmud. At that juncture, the religion of Judaism was born. It is the Talmud, not the Bible, which is the hermeneutic system of rabbinic Judaism.

The early Church recognized Christianity as having been founded by Israelites and representing the only true religion of the Bible (I Peter 2:9). Judaism was not viewed as the repository of the spiritual truths or knowledge of the Old Testament, or as "elders brothers in the faith," as Pope John Paul II claimed, but as a post-Biblical, Babylonian cult totally at variance with Biblical Christianity.

One need only start with the historic Christian attitude toward sex and the body and contrast it with Judaism's teaching in these matters, to discover a vast and unbridgable chasm which is nowadays obstructed and falsified in a frantic effort to appease and placate Jewish power.

Augustine, in his *Tractatus adversus Judeos* declared rabbinic Judaism to be the counterfeit of true Israel--that it was "Israel according to the flesh"--*carnal Israel*.

The orthodox Christian dogma on sexuality is opposed to that of Judaism. For Christians, the essence of the human being is the soul, for Jews it is the body, hence their worship of their own race as the type of God.

Virginity is highly problematic in Judaism where defilement is defined as the failure to engage in the sex act. (Cf. William Wright, *The Homilies of Aphraates, the Persian Sage* [London: 1869], vol. I, *The Syriac Texts*, p. 355).

On this subject alone it is impossible to speak of a "Judeo-Christian" tradition. That Christ is betrayed by the Jewspeak which declares the "Judeo-Christian" tradition, is of no discernible concern to the popes, preachers and pundits thus engaged. They are Jewish Pharisees in all but name.

In spite of these facts, conservatives continue to cling obsessively to an Establishment which has betrayed everything they supposedly cherish, out of the deadly need to be seen as respectable and to ensure the continuation of their personal comforts and luxuries.



**The 'Holocaust' syndrome's poster soul:
forever on display in the "pecking order of pain"**

Everything is corrupted because too few stand up as revisionist activists and say, "Enough!" As a result, it won't be long before most gentiles become afflicted with the "Holocaust syndrome" and sew a yellow star of David on their shirts as they flagellate themselves with whips, chanting, "The Holocaust, oh, The Holocaust!" in perpetuity.

By means of the "Holocaust syndrome," the Soviet hoax about Auschwitz continues to be repeated by the dutiful conservative shoe-shine boys, such as the John Birch Society's *New American* magazine (June 22, 1998) who somehow think that adding an obsequious polish to Jewish boots will permit them to advance their other issues free of debilitating charges of "anti-Semitism."

But the truth is never an "ism" and aiding and abetting Soviet propaganda discredits these patrician conservative nincompoops far more than charges that they are "anti-Semitic," a paper-thin pejorative which growing numbers of people understand is simply a

means for restricting freedom of inquiry.

Dwork and Van Pelt on the Auschwitz museum:

"There have been additions to the camp the Russians found in 1945 as well as deletions...Visitors are not told that the crematorium they see is largely a post-war reconstruction....This program of usurpation was rather detailed. A chimney, the ultimate symbol of Birkenau, was re-created; four hatched openings in the roof, as if for pouring Zyklon B into the gas chamber below, were installed...There are no signs to explain...and the guides remain silent about it when they take visitors through this building that is presumed by the tourist to be the place where it happened."

-- *Auschwitz 1270 to the Present*, pp. 363-364.

Jewish ideology has made the German people the central object of worldwide abhorrence. It was only with the publication of Goldhagen's *Hitler's Willing Executioners* that the racist implications of this campaign have come to the fore.

How brazen to promote anti-German racism in the name of agitation against racism and to forbid the truth about Judaism from being uttered, while commanding that lies about Germans should be forever repeated.

The sickness that is the "Holocaust syndrome" has become the litmus test of our respectability, forever making claims on us, forever on display in the media, a registered trademark of a monopoly on the "pecking order of pain." And people wonder why it seems that no one is willing to speak a word of common sense or truth about anything these days.

Jewspeak: Argot of the Intimidated

The official language of the Establishment is Jewspeak, the parlance of Big Brother. The purpose of Jewspeak is to give expression to the worldview of Judaism and to deprive us of the power to conceive or communicate contradictory thoughts and ideas independent of the Jewish paradigm.

Jewspeak entails the outright abolition of certain words and the imposition of ideologically loaded terms with characteristics peculiarly conducive to the pathology of the "Holocaust syndrome."

In conjunction with this, objective history is eliminated so that only Jewish victims and Jewish accounts are seen and recognized as the universal coda for suffering and victimhood.

Goodness is defined as the extent to which the individual assents to and cooperates with this Jewish process. Heresy and the demonic are imputed to all who engage in "anti-Semitic" *crimethink*--thinking independently of Jewspeak.

Such independent thinkers are never to be debated or heard, but only held in contempt and exiled into silence. Jewspeak does not engage such independents; rather it fashions an image for them and responds to that straw man alone, an image which is then stamped and typed upon the public mind as the truth about the independent thinker.

The thoughts of the independent individual are never actually encountered, since the independents are seen only through this distorting prism.



The public is imparted the conceit that they know what the independents are thinking--*crimethink*--and that such ideas are to be avoided at all costs.

Language is judged solely by the degree to which it represents a panegyric to Judaism. Such servility creates the argot of an intimidated bondservant. By banishing meaning to the utmost possible degree, literature, education and communication are expunged.

In unconditional deference to the growing power and ubiquity of the "Holocaust syndrome," JewSpeak has become the national language of an eerily immobilized population who not only will not defend themselves against Jewish supremacy, but who will not defend against the alien immigration invasion, racist black street crime, a neo-Bolshevik bureaucracy or the sale of our national security to the highest Chinese bidder.

In so circumscribed a world, hemmed in by a myriad of strictures much like a Talmudist, the pursuit of money is the only standard by which Americans measure personal excellence, and trailer-park paupers are the only politically correct objects of ridicule (since pauperism has replaced adultery as *the* moral failing).

The character of the nation can only decay in such circumstances as grossly perverted sex and ultra-violent entertainment become the means by which the souls of our formerly innocent children are made to rot.

JewSpeak supplants traditional language and the wisdom of the ages which it embodies, and the rootless, deracinated consumer, the "New Person," is thereby formed. Obedience will now be entire; servitude total.

In such a universe, it matters not at all how often the Auschwitz story is changed, the official script altered. Belief is not conditional on facts or evidence, but upon the delirium of the "Holocaust syndrome" and the restrictions and self-censorship entailed by the reign of JewSpeak.

It takes a desperate audacity to break free of this pandemic contagion. But the undaunted determination to bear witness to the truth is the only possible path for those who wish to salvage some kind of future.

The revisionist activist is the point man in a struggle that embraces all the dimensions of our Cause--racial, religious and patriotic. It is upon the success or failure of his mission that the freedom of all depends.

Gingrich disgrace to party, country

After 45 years as a voting Republican, I am faced with a quandary.

Where are some our Republican leaders going in their quest for — what? — Glory? Power? Popularity a la movie stardom?

Lest there be misunderstanding, I neither like nor trust either of the Clintons. However, here comes the quandary:

House Speaker Newt Gingrich appeared before the Israeli parliament in Tel Aviv (on the day following U.S. Memorial Day) and supported the Israeli Prime Minister's *rejection* of the official U.S. plan for Israel to cede back to the Arabs *part* of the territory seized from the Arabs. Thus trashing a diplomatic tradition of U.S. officials never criticizing U.S. policy while visiting a foreign land, ol' Gingrich roughhoused the current administrations's careful efforts to bring peace to the devastated inhabitants of Palestine.

Congratulations, Gingrich! Several suitable labels for you come to mind. Jackass is one. Traitor comes perilously close.

The beleaguered citizens of that pitiful, bloodied area of the Middle East search for some kind of peace and you appear in Israel and denounce your own country's ongoing policy before the Knesset. Gee, Gingrich, you chose a good time, too — the day after Memorial Day in this country. It's the day when your country celebrates the sacrifices of all the servicemen who died for it.

I may reconsider my political affiliation after all these years. But you don't really care, do you? Trouble is, neither do the Clintons.

And that's the true quandary.

Paul G. Wilson
Hayden Lake, Idaho

Spokane, Washington: *Spokesman-Review*, June 2, 1998



"Politics and bureaucracy are arenas of personal power that are nearly irresistible to mediocre persons. Mediocrities in power regard the world as unsafe as long as persons of originality and creativity are allowed freedom. Their unslakable envy and inner insecurity drives them to create regulations that stifle liberty in all its forms, wherever it appears, lest it lead to their loss of control. They do not believe that what Jefferson termed "natural aristocrats" should be allowed the freedom of annoying the world with new thoughts and observations." Otto Scott

Rigging the Hate Crime Statistics

How the FBI Manipulates the Law to Stigmatize Whites as "Hate Criminals"

by Robert Simmons

usa550@aol.com

The current methodology employed by the FBI in compiling hate crime statistics is clearly inaccurate, misleading, and very discriminatory against Americans of European ancestry.

In 1990, Congress passed the Hate Crime Statistics Act, U.S. Public Law 101-275. This legislation mandated that the U.S. Attorney General establish guidelines, definitions, and data "about crimes that manifest evidence of prejudice based on race, religion, sexual orientation, or ethnicity."

The Attorney General turned this matter over to the FBI for this purpose, which was then referred to the "Uniform Crime Reports Section" of that organization.

The problem surfaced when the FBI clearly identified the victims of race crimes by race, ethnicity, religion, or sexual orientation which was the intent of the Congress, but applied a different standard to perpetrators of hate crimes.

Instead, hate crime perpetrators are identified not by a similar range of categories (race, ethnicity, religion, or sexual orientation), but *only by race* (white, black, American Indian, Alaskan native, Asian, Pacific Islander, multiracial group, or unknown).

According to this methodology, Hispanics are recognized as victims as members of an ethnic group, but never as perpetrators because they are mostly categorized as "white" when perpetrating a hate crime, and recognized as Hispanic only when they are victims. (Up to 9% are categorized as "black" perpetrators when Hispanics are perpetrators of hate crimes).

There are others besides Hispanics under this methodology who can be classified only as victims: persons with North African origins (Arabs, Copts, Berbers, etc.); persons with Southwest Asian origins (Arabs, Syrians, Lebanese, Israelis, Kurds, Persians, Turks, etc.), and some persons with Russian-Asian origins.

In practical terms this means that if a gang of *Nortenos* (an Hispanic gang usually made up of Hispanics born in the U.S.), beat up a member of *Surenos* (a member of another Hispanic gang usually made up of Hispanics born in other countries) while telling him to "go back to Mexico," the assault will be listed by the FBI as a "white" hate crime perpetrated against an Hispanic.

If a Islamic Iraqi beats up a Jew and calls him an infidel, it's classified as a "white" hate crime perpetrated on a Jew.

Under current definitions, it's impossible to tell how many "white" hate crimes were committed by persons who would be classified as "white" were they victims of hate crimes.

The methodology adopted by the FBI not only inflates

the number of "white" perpetrated hate crimes, but because of selective publicity given to these flawed statistics, most people probably assume that the vast majority of hate crimes are committed by "white" people, most of whom are Americans of European ancestry.

In fact, the phrase "hate crime" has become synonymous with European-Americans in the print media. This is one reason that conferences on hate crimes do not include European-Americans on their victim panels, because it is white people who are thought to be the chief culprits. Nothing can be further from the truth, but that is the media-sponsored perception.

An analysis of hate crime statistics will reveal, however, that the true share of hate crimes carried out by European-Americans in any jurisdiction is a much smaller share of all hate crimes than the population share held by European-Americans in that jurisdiction.

Was the double standard incorporated into official FBI reports just a simple mistake--an oversight perhaps? I think not.

I surmise that it was done deliberately in order to discredit Americans of European ancestry as a step toward a multicultural, multiracial nation where "whites" are a marginalized minority, and their heritage, culture, and contributions devalued.

The manipulation of hate crime statistics and related publicity certainly has staggering propaganda value.

Activist's Corner

In October Californians will commemorate the 25th anniversary of one of the worst hate crimes of all: the murder of 15 white people by black racists in San Francisco in 1973. Contact P. Carruth, Zebra Victims, 297 El Camino Real #155, San Bruno, CA 94066. Fax: 650-869-7215.

M. Hoffman II discusses the Talmud at the First International Revisionist Conference in Australia, Aug. 8. Funds were not available for Mr. Hoffman to attend the conference in person, so he addresses the audience by telephone. The conference was organized by philosopher Frederic Toben, PhD. E-mail: fredadin@adam.com.au

On July 18 Hoffman confronted JDL thug-in-chief Irv Rubin and exposed his bloody record before a combined crowd of townsfolk and media. An illustrated 4 page report, *Hoffman Confronts the JDL* is available for \$1.

On July 21, Boise's *Idaho Statesman* published Robert Boatman's op-ed piece, "Boise building memorial to Anne Frank myth." Boatman, a public relations consultant for Rep. Helen Chenoweth (R-ID), was promptly fired by her for offending our state religion. E-mail: interboat@aol.com

Revisionist History®

Number 7 August-September, 1998

Michael A. Hoffman II, Editor. Published by The Independent History & Research Co., Box 849, Coeur d'Alene, Idaho 83816. E-mail: hoffman@hoffman-info.com Website: <http://www.hoffman-info.com>
Subscribe for 6 issues for \$30 and 12 issues for \$50.
Single copy: \$6.50. Overseas add 30% U.S. funds/bank.

Why the Silence on Black Atrocities?

During the '70s and '80s, South Africa's apartheid was the target of civil-rights organizations, politicians and campus radicals.

They called for sanctions, boycotts and disinvestment in protest against the injustices of apartheid — and there were plenty of injustices. South African blacks had little or no political say-so. Codified discrimination restricted what jobs blacks could hold, where they could live, whom they could marry and a host of other encroachments on personal liberty.

Between 1900 and 1990, before apartheid finally ended, roughly 10,000 blacks lost their lives in civil conflict with the South African government.

In countries like Uganda, Rwanda, Burundi, Ethiopia, Zaire, Mozambique, Somalia, Sudan and Chad, millions upon millions of blacks have lost their lives in civil conflict and genocide. Much of that conflict continues today and includes murder, torture and genocide. Many victims reach their end in unspeakable ways, including dismemberment, boiling in oil and "necklacing," a procedure where the victim is bound with barbed wire, a tire placed around his neck, doused with gasoline and torched.

In Sudan and Mauritania, blacks are bought and sold into slavery by their fellow blacks and Arabs. Also in Sudan, there have been decades of warfare that have claimed nearly 3 million lives of African Southern Sudanese.

Five million civilians have been displaced internally and another half million fled to neighboring countries.

Iran, Iraq and Libya have sent both materials, including chemical weapons, and troops to assist Khar-toum's Islamic government in its war against the South.



WALTER E. WILLIAMS

Africa's tragedy is horrible. Compounding that tragedy is the deafening silence of the do-gooders and the media, who loudly protested against South Africa's injustices. South Africa's misdeeds were child's play compared to

those in Africa's other countries. When President Clinton, black congressmen and their civil-rights entourage visited Africa, did you hear them condemn these horrors and suggest the kind of sanctions they supported against South Africa?

Dr. George Ayittey, director of the Washington-based Free Africa Foundation and professor of economics at American University, says the fundamental factor behind virtually all of Africa's civil wars is the struggle for political power. He adds that rebel leaders who set out to remove tyrants are really tyrants themselves, as demonstrated by the ouster of Zaire's Mobuto by Kabila.

Ayittey, a Ghanaian, doesn't have kind words for Africa's leaders. He says, "Africa's liberation struggle is a trenchant story of betrayal, choreographed by an assortment of crocodile liberators, quack revolutionaries, Swiss bank

socialists and grasping kleptocrats."

Forty percent of Africa's people live on less than \$1 a day. In most countries, per-capita income and economic growth, if at all positive, are less than they were at the time of independence. In 1957, when Ghana gained its independence from Great Britain, it had very high prospects. If you were a betting person, you would have bet that by 1990 Ghana would be far richer than South Korea or Hong Kong.

There are some bright spots in Africa. In countries like Botswana, Mauritius, Namibia and South Africa, there's more democracy and economic growth.

In the face of Africa's tragedy, where are the civil-rights leaders, politicians and campus radicals? Why aren't they staging demonstrations in front of the embassies of those brutal African governments? The only answer I can come up with is that, for them, brutal injustices are important only when it's whites mistreating African blacks.

It's the same reasoning at home. Thousands of black Americans are murdered each year by fellow blacks. But Jesse Jackson gets animated when some Texaco executives don't speak nicely about their black employees.

Williams is a professor of economics at George Mason University in Fairfax, Va. Write him in care of Creators Syndicate, 5777 W. Century Blvd., Suite 700, Los Angeles, CA 90045 (e-mail: cre8ors@aol.com).

Mallard Fillmore

By Bruce Tinsley

